

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,189	08/02/2001	Warren B. Mosler	211945US6CON	4982	
22850	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HAVAN, THU THAO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3624		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/682,189	MOSLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thu Thao Havan	3624	

Delete alor imig of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thu Thao Havan	3624	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	 !ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the penod of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriation in the final Office in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) a		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	, <b>,</b>	( <b></b>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .		,			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).					
13. Other: Applicant's arguments filed March 18, 2005 have applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain feapplicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and inflation itself and inflation as an applicant relies (i.e., trade in inflation itself and itself and inflation itself and	atures of applicant's invention, it is a	noted that the feature	s upon which		
naintained  VINCENT MILLIN					
	VINCEN	I IAIITTIA			

Vines Melli

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600